

MultiPlan Health Insurance Provider Litigation

What Healthcare Providers Need to Know

MDL No. 3121 | N.D. Illinois

What Is the MultiPlan Litigation?

The Case

A consolidated multidistrict litigation (MDL) before Judge Matthew F. Kennelly in the Northern District of Illinois alleging a price-fixing conspiracy that systematically underpaid out-of-network (OON) healthcare providers.

The Alleged Scheme

MultiPlan (now Claritev) and 30+ major insurers allegedly colluded to suppress OON payments by sharing pricing data and using proprietary tools to artificially lower reimbursement rates across the entire market.

\$19B

Estimated underpayments per year

30+

Defendant insurance companies

3x

Treble damages under federal antitrust law

Recent Developments



July 2017

Statute of limitations window opens — eligible claims may go back up to 10 years from this date.

April 2025

U.S. Department of Justice filed a Statement of Interest supporting plaintiffs' legal theory, confirming coordination through third-party intermediaries like MultiPlan can violate antitrust law.

June 2025

Judge Kennelly denied the defendants' motion to dismiss. The case is now proceeding to active discovery.

Who Qualifies?

MD Medical Practices

- Primary care & specialist physicians
- Surgeons performing OON procedures
- Physical therapists (APTA named)
- Behavioral / mental health providers
- Chiropractors

FC Facilities & Centers

- Emergency departments
- Ambulatory Surgery Centers
- Specialty & urgent care clinics
- Rehabilitation centers
- Behavioral health facilities

ORG Organizations

- Medical associations (e.g. APTA)
- Independent physician groups
- Multi-specialty practices
- Any provider billed OON to Aetna, Cigna, BCBS, UHC, Humana, Elevance, or 30+ others

How to Know If Your Claims Were Affected

Check Your EOB / Remittance Advice

Look for these terms on your Explanation of Benefits or provider remittance:

MultiPlan

Claritev

Data iSight

Viant

ProPricer

MARS

✓ **Claims may go back up to 10 years**

What Happens Next

1

No claims list needed

Attorneys obtain a complete MultiPlan claims report on your behalf.

2

Just confirm exposure

Simply confirm your practice has been exposed to MultiPlan pricing.

3

Third-party billers help

Billing companies can also help identify affected claims for you.

4

No risk to your contracts

Filing does not change your legal entitlement to OON payment.

The Anticompetitive Conduct Alleged

01 Rate-Setting Outsourced

Payors outsourced pricing to MultiPlan, using Data iSight, Viant, MARS & ProPricer to artificially suppress OON payments industry-wide.

02 Price Caps Imposed

Payors pooled sensitive pricing data and adopted hard price caps ('overrides'), eliminating any competitive marketplace for OON services.

03 Profits from Underpay

MultiPlan and payors collected administrative fees based on the gap between billed charges and suppressed payments — profiting directly from underpaying providers.

04 No Escape for Providers

Because nearly all major payors participated, providers had no leverage to seek better payment elsewhere, making acceptance of artificially low rates unavoidable.

Alleged violations of Section 1, Sherman Antitrust Act

What Can Providers Recover?

Fair Market Rate – Amount Paid = Actual Damages × 3 = TREBLE DAMAGES

Example Calculation

Actual damages found: **\$1,000,000**

After trebling (×3): **\$3,000,000**

Plaintiffs also seek injunctive relief to stop defendants from continuing anti-competitive pricing practices in the OON market.

Key Points

✓ Contingency Fee

No upfront cost — paid only if you win.

✓ No Risk to Contracts

Filing does not affect existing OON agreements.

✓ Option to Sue Separately

You may choose to sue only MultiPlan without naming the insurance companies.

How to Join the Case

01	Eligibility Review	Confirm your practice provided OON services since July 2017 and that claims were repriced by MultiPlan or its affiliated tools (Data iSight, Viant, MARS, ProPricer).
02	Claims Report	Our attorneys obtain a complete MultiPlan claims report on your behalf — you do not need to compile this list yourself.
03	File as a DAP	Providers may file as a Direct Action Plaintiff (DAP) within MDL 3121, submitting a complaint in the Northern District of Illinois.
04	Discovery & Resolution	The case is in active discovery. Cases may resolve through settlement or trial. You will be kept informed at every stage.

Take Action Today

Your practice may be owed significantly more than it was paid.

Don't let the clock run out on claims going back 10 years.

Request Our Complementary Legal Consultation

Ged Lawyers — Healthcare Revenue Cycle Recovery

Florida · Massachusetts · New Jersey · Michigan

gedlawyers.com | 844-941-2569